Case 2:05-cv-74551-BAF-PJK Document 1 Filed 12/01/05 Page 1 of 12 RECEIPT NUMBER

534943

ORIGINAL

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

12

INERGI, L.L.C. d/b/a Inergi Fitness, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,

٧.

JUDGE: Friedman, Bernard A.
DECK: S. Division Civil Deck
DATE: 12/01/2005 @ 12:34:01
CASE NUMBER: 2:05CV74551

COMP INERGI V. JOHN DALY

ENTERPRISES (AWI)

JOHN DALY ENTERPRISES, L.L.C
a Florida Limited Liability Company,

Defendant.

\_MAGISTRATE JUDGE PAUL J. KOMIVES

TOM J. BERMAN (P67595) Attorney for Plaintiff 30833 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 121 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248) 626-3955

### **COMPLAINT**

The Plaintiff, Inergi, L.L.C., ("Inergi"), d/b/a Inergi Fitness, by and through its attorney, Tom J. Berman, hereby files its Compliant against the Defendant, John Daly Enterprises, L.L.C. ("John Daly Enterprises"), and in support thereof, states of follows:

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the trademarks laws of the United States (15 U.S.C. § 1051 et. seq. of the Lanham Act).
- 2. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. § \$ 1331 and 1338.
  - 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

#### THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Inergi is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. Its principal place of business is located at 304 Tequesta Drive, Suite 100, Tequesta, FL 33458.
- 5. Plaintiff Inergi markets, distributes, and sells exercise equipment, including but not limited to, a manually operated variable resistance apparatus for strengthening muscles.

  Inergi's exercise equipment is marketed and sold to customers throughout the United States, including residents of the State of Michigan.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Daly Enterprises is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida. Its principal place of business is located at 290 S. Military Trail, Deerfield Beach, FL 33442.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Daly Enterprises markets, sells and distributes, various golf products, including golf exercise and training devices, golf apparel, golf accessories, and golf memorabilia, as well as non-golf novelty products.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Daly Enterprises markets, sells and distributes it products to customers throughout the United States, including residents of the State of Michigan. Its products are made available to consumers through various third party retail outlets having stores located in southeast Michigan and elsewhere.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Daly Enterprises operates a website at <a href="www.johndaly.com">www.johndaly.com</a> through which it markets, sells, and distributes its products.
  - 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Daly Enterprises also markets, sells,

and distributes its products through independently operated Internet websites.

11. Upon information and belief, John Daly Enterprises also markets, sells and distributes it products to consumers through its "John Daly Merchandising Trailer". The trailer operates as a retail-store-on-wheels, traveling to golf tournaments at which professional golfer John Daly is participating, including but not limited to, the Buick Open held at Warwick Hills Golf & Country Club located in Grand Blanc, Michigan. The trailer enables consumers to purchase products marketed, sold, and distributed by John Daly Enterprises.

### **COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. Plaintiff Inergi markets, distributes and sells its fitness equipment under various trademarks, including but not limited to the mark POWERBELT.
- 13. To protect the value and goodwill of its POWERBELT mark, Inergi obtained a United States federal registration for its mark. The POWERBELT mark is registered under U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,163,562, registered June 9, 1998, for exercise equipment, namely, manually operated variable resistance apparatus for strengthening muscles.
  - 14. Plaintiff Inergi's POWERBELT trademark registration is incontestable.
- 15. Plaintiff Inergi has the exclusive right, as the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,163,562, to use the POWERBELT mark in connection with the goods identified in the federal registration.
- 16. Plaintiff Inergi has been using its POWERBELT mark continuously for at least the past 8 years. Throughout that time, Inergi has expended significant time, money, and effort establishing public recognition of its POWERBELT mark.

- 17. Plaintiff Inergi uses its POWERBELT mark in advertising and for promoting the sale and distribution of its products throughout the United States, and has established substantial consumer recognition of the POWERBELT mark and the goodwill associated with it.
- 18. Defendant John Daly Enterprises currently advertises and promotes a golf swing training and exercise device under the mark "Powerbelt". Upon information and belief, John Daly Enterprises markets and sells its golf training and exercise device to consumers through Internet websites independently operated by third party retailers. Upon Information and belief, John Daly Enterprises also markets and sells its golf swing device through stores operated by independent retailers.
- 19. Representatives of Plaintiff Inergi have contacted representatives of John Daly Enterprises on numerous occasions demanding that John Daly Enterprises immediately cease and desist from any and all use of Inergi's POWERBELT mark. Representative of John Daly Enterprises have responded by stating they do not consider John Daly Enterprises' use of the "Powerbelt" mark to be an infringement of Inergi's rights in the mark.
- 20. Defendant John Daly Enterprises' intentional and continued use of Plaintiff Inergi's trademark has prompted the filing of the present lawsuit.

### COUNT I FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

- 21. Plaintiff Inergi hereby adopts and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 20 above as though fully set forth herein.
  - 22. Inergi owns a valid and subsisting federal trademark registration for the mark

POWERBELT, U.S. Registration No. 2,163,562, and enjoys all the rights associated therewith.

- 23. By advertising, distributing and selling its golf swing training and exercise device under the mark "Powerbelt", Defendant John Daly Enterprises has infringed on the rights enjoyed by Plaintiff Inergi under its federally registered POWERBELT mark. Such unlawful use by John Daly Enterprises has and is likely to cause confusion, deception, and/or mistake in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
  - 24. Plaintiff Inergi has no adequate remedy at law.
- 25. Defendant John Daly Enterprises' unauthorized use of Plaintiff Inergi's POWERBELT mark has caused injury to Inergi in an amount not yet ascertained.
- 26. Plaintiff Inergi is entitled to those remedies provided under Title 15 of the United States Code.

### COUNT II FEDERAL UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)

- 27. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 26 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Plaintiff Inergi's POWERBELT mark has come to be associated in the minds of the purchasing public with Inergi's exercise equipment and has achieved a requisite degree of celebrity in the minds of the purchasing public.
- 29. Defendant John Daly Enterprises' conduct wrongfully and falsely designates its goods as originating with or being affiliated with Inergi and constitutes the use of false description or false representation in interstate commerce.

- 30. The conduct of Defendant John Daly Enterprises is intended to and is likely to deceive, to cause mistake, or to cause confusion in members of the public who are wrongfully lead to believe that John Daly Enterprises' goods are associated or connected with Plaintiff Inergi, or that Inergi sponsors or approves the golf training device being advertised, sold and distributed by Defendant John Daly Enterprises.
- 31. The continued unauthorized use by Defendant John Daly Enterprises of the mark "Powerbelt" in relation to its golf training and exercise device is intended to cause, has caused, and will continue to cause, confusion and deception of the public to the serious irreparable harm of Plaintiff Inergi.
- 32. Defendant John Daly Enterprises' conduct thus deprives Plaintiff Inergi of its valid trademark rights and other rights against federal unfair competition, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 33. By reason of the forgoing, Plaintiff Inergi has been injured in an amount not yet ascertained.

# COUNT III CYBERPIRACY UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)

- 34. Plaintiff Inergi hereby adopts and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 33 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Daly Enterprises operates an Internet website at <a href="https://www.johndalypowerbelt.com">www.johndalypowerbelt.com</a>. The website is used to advertise, sell and distribute a golf swing training and exercise device under the mark "Powerbelt".

- 36. The domain name johndalypowerbelt.com incorporates Plaintiff Inergi's federally registered POWERBELT mark and is thus confusingly similar to Inergi's registered mark.
- 37. Upon information and belief, the johndalypowerbelt.com domain name was registered on October 31, 2003.
- 38. Plaintiff Inergi's POWERBELT mark was distinctive at the time Defendant John Daly Enterprises registered its johndalypowerbelt.com domain name.
- 39. Defendant John Daly Enterprises use of Plaintiff Inergi's POWERBELT mark in its johndalypowerbelt.com domain name demonstrates a bad faith intent to profit from the goodwill associated with Inergi's mark.
- 40. Plaintiff Inergi has been injured by Defendant John Daly Enterprises' registration and use of a domain name incorporating Inergi's POWERBELT mark and has no adequate remedy at law for such injury.

# COUNT IV DILUTION OF FAMOUS MARK UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)

- 41. Plaintiff Inergi hereby adopts and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 40 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. Within the exercise and fitness industry, Plaintiff Inergi's registered POWERBELT mark has become famous, and as such, has become associated with Plaintiff Inergi.
- 43. Defendant John Daly Enterprises' wrongful use of the mark "Powerbelt" has caused, is causing, and will continue to cause derogation of the rights protected under 15 U.S.C.

§ 1125(c).

44. Plaintiff Inergi has been injured by such dilution of its famous mark and has no adequate remedy at law for such injury.

## COUNT V COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 45. Plaintiff Inergi hereby adopts and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 44 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 46. The acts complained of herein are in violation of the laws of the State of Michigan and constitute acts of unfair competition thereunder.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Inergi, L.L.C., d/b/a Inergi Fitness, respectfully requests that:

- (A) Inergi's POWERBELT mark be found to be valid and subsisting;
- (B) John Daly Enterprises' use of the mark "Powerbelt" be found to be an infringement of Inergi's rights in its mark;
- (C) John Daly Enterprises, its employees, servants, agents, and all others acting in concert with them, be enjoined, both preliminarily during the pendency of this litigation as well as permanently thereafter, from using the mark "Powerbelt", or any other mark which is a colorable imitation thereof, in such a manner that there is likelihood of confusion as to the source of the goods or services which the public associates with Inergi, including, *inter alia*, any website, metatag, link, catalog, or in any fashion whatsoever;
  - (D) John Daly Enterprises, its employees, servants, agents, and all others

acting in concert with them, be enjoined from otherwise competing unfairly with Inergi through use of the mark "Powerbelt", or any other colorable imitation thereof, which is likely to cause a false designation or false association between the goods and services of John Daly Enterprises and those of Inergi, or otherwise unfairly competing with Inergi;

- (E) John Daly Enterprises be directed to file with this Court and serve on Inergi within thirty (30) days after service of an order enjoining John Daly Enterprises as described above, a report in writing and under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which John Daly Enterprises has complied with the injunction;
- (F) John Daly Enterprises be ordered to account for and pay over to Inergi all profits, gains, and advantages, wrongfully realized by John Daly Enterprises in association with those acts which constitute infringement of Inergi's rights as described above and that such determined amount be trebled as provided in the statute;
- (G) John Daly Enterprises be required to pay Inergi's costs, expenses, and reasonable attorney fees, incurred in connection with this action as provided under 15 U.S.C. \$1117, as this is an "exceptional case" within the meaning of that section, along with prejudgement interest commencing from the date of service of this Complaint;
- (H) John Daly Enterprises be required to deliver up for impoundment or destruction, all materials in its possession which bear or display the mark "Powerbelt", or any colorable imitation thereof which is likely to cause confusion or false designation of origin with the marks owned by Inergi, and the goods and services associated therewith, together with any and all plates, negatives, or other items of reproduction capable of use in printing, reproducing, or duplicating Inergi's mark or any colorable imitation thereof;

(I) John Daly Enterprises immediately cause to be removed from the Internet, whether in a website, as a metatag, or as part of a search engine, any reference to the mark "Powerbelt" or any colorable imitation thereof;

(J) John Daly Enterprises immediately cease any and all use of the mark "Powerbelt", or any colorable imitation, in any Internet search engine; and

(K) Inergi be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Respectfully Submitted,

TOM J. BERMAN

By: Tom J. Berman (P67595)

30833 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 121

Farmington Hills, MI 48334

(248) 626-3966

Dated: November 14, 2005

Case 2:05-cv-74551-BAF-PJK Document 1 Filed 12/01/05 Page 11 of 12

(Rev. 11/04) CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose (CIVIL COVER SHEET COUNTY IN CIVIL COUNTY IN C

			<u> </u>	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Inergi LLC d/b/a Inergit	THE DEFENDANTS	John Daly	Enterprises, LLE
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Floridy.	<b>-</b>	of First Listed Defendant	Palm Beach Runty Florida
, ,	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NOTE: IN LAN	ID CONDEMNATION CASES, U	
			INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (liim Name	. Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	05 - 14	551
Tom J. Bec	hadress, and receptoric retition)	Attorneys (it Allowin)	•	
30533 Nor	Thinestorn Hwy Str. 121 Hill MI 48334 (246)626	- 200	BERNARD A.	FRIEDMAN
II. BASIS OF JURISD		III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		I Incorporated or F of Business In Th	Principal Place 🔲 4 🗍 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	DV4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	フ2 口 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> of Bus知道s In	
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	3 Foreigia Nation	Si 0 0 0
III NUMBER OF STREET		Foreign Country	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CONTRACT	Γ_(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		CISIO.	
☐ 110 Insurance	TORTS	FORFETTURE/PENALTY	BANKROPICY	OTHERSTATUTES
☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR  310 Airplane   362 Personal Injury		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC:158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 1 ☐	400 State Reapportionment
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	2011000140 -47	430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 365 Personal Injury - 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		S==	150 Commerce
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	il G30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights	17 160 Deportation 1
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	□_830 Patent ***:	Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability  340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	D 660 Occupational	₩ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud	TY Safety/Health  G 690 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (139511) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange  875 Customer Challenge
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Damage		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	D 360 Other Personal Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	D 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION	& Disclosure Act S 0 740 Railway Labor Act	EB 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	790 Other Labor Litigation	O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	D 442 Employment Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations    Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	© 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	B95 Freedom of Information
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty		20 030 7007	900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	U 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - U 540 Mandamus & Oth Employment U 550 Civil Rights	er		Under Equal Access
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition			to Justice  950 Constitutionality of
	Other	1		State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights		ļ	<u> </u>
S Original 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Reinstated or 5 Transf Reopened (specif	Cerred from	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you ar	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	l statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause: Trackman v	11. 1.0 7		15 U.S.C. \$1051 et sant.
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMANDS	CHICK VIS only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMINITIO 5	JURY DEMAND:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE	****		<i>- - - - - - - - - -</i>
			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SKINATUREOFAT	COUNEY OF RECORD		
12-1-05	< / <sub>2</sub>	61. Km		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	- Jan	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	<del></del>
RECEIPT# AM	APPLYING IFP			<b></b>
	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE

### Case 2:05-cv-74551-BAF-PJK Document 1 Filed 12/01/05 Page 12 of 12

### PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, give	the following information:	∐ No
Co u rt:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	Yes No
If yes, give	the following information:	
Court:		
Case No.:		
Notes :		